

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT N. JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-01322 KJM KJN PS

v.

SHADI RAM, Individually and d/b/a
One Stop Mart; AVTAR CHAMBER,
Individually and d/b/a One Stop Mart;
RAM MARKETS, Inc., a California
Corporation,

Defendants.

ORDER

On October 21, 2011, the undersigned entered an Order and Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order (“Scheduling Order”) in this case (Dkt. No. 16).¹ The Scheduling Order did not set a date for either the final pretrial scheduling conference or the trial in this case because the court lacked information required to properly set those dates. Accordingly, the Scheduling Order required that on or before November 10, 2011: (1) “defendant Shadi Ram shall file an amended answer with the court”; (2) “defendant Avtar Chamber shall file an answer with the court”; (3) “all parties shall file a notice with the court, preferably on the form that accompanied the

¹ This action proceeds before the undersigned pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

1 Order Setting Status Conference in this case (see Dkt. No. 4, Doc. No. 4-2), whether they consent
2 to or decline the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge.” (Scheduling Order at 10.)

3 A review of the court’s docket reveals that some of the parties have not complied
4 with the court’s Scheduling Order. First, defendant Shadi Ram did not file an amended answer
5 to the complaint on or before November 10, 2011. Second, defendant Avtar Chamber did not
6 file an answer to the complaint on or before November 10, 2011. Third, although plaintiff and
7 Mr. Chamber filed notices consenting to the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge (Dkt. Nos. 17,
8 19), Mr. Ram has not filed a notice indicating whether he consents to the jurisdiction of the
9 magistrate judge.² By this order, the undersigned provides Mr. Ram and Mr. Chamber with
10 additional time to comply with the Scheduling Order.

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. On or before December 2, 2011, defendant Shadi Ram shall file an
13 amended answer to plaintiff’s complaint.

14 2. On or before December 2, 2011, defendant Avtar Chamber shall file an
15 answer to plaintiff’s complaint.

16 3. On or before December 2, 2011, defendant Shadi Ram shall file a notice
17 with the court, preferably on the form that accompanied the Order Setting Status Conference in
18 this case (see Dkt. No. 4, Doc. No. 4-2), whether he consents to or declines the jurisdiction of the
19 magistrate judge.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: November 14, 2011

22 
23 _____
24 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

26 ² On November 9, 2011, Mr. Ram and Mr. Chamber filed an updated status report with the court (Dkt. No. 18). The court did not require such a filing.