(HC) Manning v. Cullin			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE I	JNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9			
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	TONY MASON MANNING,	N. Chic 11 1242 CCHD	
11	Petitioner,	No. CIV S-11-1342 GGH P	
12	VS.		
13	VINCENT CULLIN,		
14	Respondent.	<u>ORDER</u>	
15		/	
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of		
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma		
18	pauperis. In his application, which appears to attack the underlying conviction, petitioner		
19	challenges a conviction issued by the Fresno County Superior Court. Fresno County is part of		
20	the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. <u>See</u>		
21	Local Rule 120(d).		
22	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in		
23	the proper division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper		
24	division of the court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the		
25	court. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis.		
26	/////		
		1	

Doc. 3

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis;	
3	2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern	
4	District of California sitting in Fresno; and	
5	3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and	
6	shall be filed at:	
7	United States District Court Eastern District of California	
8	2500 Tulare Street Fresno, CA 93721	
9	11Csno, CA 93721	
10	DATED: June 2, 2011	
11	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows	
12	GREGORY G. HOLLOWS	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14	GGH:md mann1342.109	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		