1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDRE CRAVER,	No. 2:11-cv-1344 TLN KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	J. HASTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On July 10, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
21	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the	
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	
	.i	1

The undersigned agrees with the magistrate judge that injunctive relief pursuant to the All Writs Act is not warranted. Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief concerns conditions at California State Prison-Lancaster ("Lancaster"). Lancaster is located within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central District of California. As Plaintiff has previously been advised, his claims regarding conditions at Lancaster should be raised in a civil rights action filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed July 10, 2014, are adopted in full; and
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for federal protection (ECF No. 108), construed as a motion for injunctive relief, is denied.

Dated: August 21, 2014

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge