| (PC) Craver | v. Hasty et al  |                             |  |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
|             |   |                             |  |
|             |   |                             |  |
|             |   |                             |  |
| 1           |   |                             |  |
| 2           |   |                             |  |
| 3           |   |                             |  |
| 4           |   |                             |  |
| 5           |   |                             |  |
| 6           |   |                             |  |
| 7           |   |                             |  |
| 8           | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                             |  |
| 9           | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                             |  |
| 10          | ANDRE CRAVER,   |                             |  |
| 11          | Plaintiff,  | No. 2: 11-cv-1344-TLN-KJN-P |  |
| 12          | vs.   |                             |  |
| 13          | J. HASTY, et al.,   |                             |  |
| 14          | Defendants.   | ORDER                       |  |
| 15          |   | /                           |  |
| 16          | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action               |                             |  |
| 17          | seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate    |                             |  |
| 18          | Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.                                  |                             |  |
| 19          | On March 21, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations                      |                             |  |
| 20          | herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any      |                             |  |
| 21          | objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither |                             |  |
| 22          | party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.                                 |                             |  |
| 23          | The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be                |                             |  |
| 24          | supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY       |                             |  |
| 25          | ORDERED that:   |                             |  |
| 26          | ////  |                             |  |
|             |   | 1                           |  |

Doc. 66

|   | 2 |
|---|---|
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |

26

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 21, 2013, are adopted in full.
- 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment (dkt. no. 47) is denied.

DATED: April 23, 2013

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge