

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW BISCOTTI, et al.,)	Case No. 2:11-CV-01347 JAM-EFB
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
CITY OF YUBA CITY, et al.,)	
)	<u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u>
Defendants.)	
-----)	
MATTHEW BISCOTTI, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 2:16-CV-02552 MCE-EFB
)	
v.)	
)	
CITY OF YUBA CITY, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
-----)	

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are

1 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation
2 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this
3 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and
4 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the District Judge assignment in
6 the action denominated 2:16-CV-02552 MCE-EFB be reassigned to Judge
7 John A. Mendez for all further proceedings, and any dates currently
8 set in the reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth,
9 the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be
10 shown as 2:16-CV-02552 JAM-EFB.

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
12 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
13 compensate for this reassignment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: February 23, 2017

16 /s/ John A. Mendez

17 JOHN A. MENDEZ

18 United States District Court Judge
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28