

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED
Jun 08, 2011
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**IN RE: FOSAMAX PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1789

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-93)

On August 16, 2006, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 444 F.Supp.2d 1347 (J.P.M.L. 2006). Since that time, 227 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable John F Keenan.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Keenan.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of August 16, 2006, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable John F Keenan.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.


Jun 08, 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

A CERTIFIED COPY
RUBY J. KRAJICK, CLERK
BY 
Deputy Clerk

**IN RE: FOSAMAX PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1789

SCHEDULE CTO-93 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	11-01357	Hines v. Merck &Co., Inc.
MISSOURI EASTERN			
MOE	4	11-00905	Uhrey v. Merck, Sharp &Dohme, Corp. et al