

**FILED**

JUN 24 2011

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
BY [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

2:11-1359

MDL No. 1871

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-98)**

On October 16, 2007, the Panel transferred 2 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. *See* 528 F.Supp.2d 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2007). Since that time, 1,572 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Rufe.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Eastern District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of October 16, 2007, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Cynthia M Rufe.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

-JFM Martinez v. Glaxosmithkline

Doc. 7

FOR THE PANEL:

[Signature]

Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

**Jun 20, 2011**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

TRUE COPY CERTIFIED TO FROM THE RECORD

DATED: 6/20/2011

ATTEST: [Signature]  
DEPUTY CLERK, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

**IN RE: AVANDIA MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 1871

**SCHEDULE CTO-98 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>CALIFORNIA EASTERN</b>			
CAE	2	11-01359	Martinez v. Glaxosmithkline
<b>FLORIDA SOUTHERN</b>			
FLS	1	11-21812	Montoya et al v. SmithKline Beecham Corp et al
FLS	1	11-21813	Hollinger v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
FLS	2	11-14116	Reed et al v. Smithkline Beechman Corporation
FLS	9	10-81438	Herpack v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation
FLS	9	10-81444	Henigain v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation
FLS	9	10-81446	Thompson v. Smithkline Beecham Corporation d/b/a Glaxosmithkline
FLS	9	10-81555	Williams v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
<b>ILLINOIS CENTRAL</b>			
ILC	3	11-03122	Gore v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
<b>ILLINOIS NORTHERN</b>			
ILN	1	11-02990	Thayalan et al v. GlaxoSmithKline, LLC
<b>MINNESOTA</b>			
MN	0	11-01338	Crum v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation
<b>NEW JERSEY</b>			
NJ	2	11-03246	PERRY v. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION et al
<b>TEXAS SOUTHERN</b>			
TXS	3	11-00217	Faz et al v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC