

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
OR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL TONNEMACHER,

Petitioner, No. CIV S-11-1363 DAD P

VS.

EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF'S  
DEPARTMENT,

## ORDER AND

### Respondent.

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /

16 A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned by  
17 the postal service as undeliverable. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local  
18 Rule 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any  
19 address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the  
20 postal service and petitioner has failed to notify the court of his current address.

21           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to  
22 randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action; and


23 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without  
24 prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District  
26 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
3 Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
4 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
5 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

6 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

7 DATED: August 1, 2011.

8  
9  
10   
11 DALE A. DROZD  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

DAD:9:mp  
tonn1363.133a