

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DWIGHT WHITAKER, individually and on
behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Civ. No. S-11-0910 KJM DAD

HEALTH NET OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,
HEALTH NET, INC., and INTERNATIONAL
BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION,

Defendants.

_____ /

PORNPETCH ASSAVARUNGNIRUN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1008 KJM DAD

HEALTH NET OF CALIFORNIA, INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

RACHEL AVILA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1097 JAM KJN

HEALTH NET, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

1 ALANA BOURNAS, et al.,

2 Plaintiffs,

3 vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1262 GEB DAD

4 HEALTH NET, INC., et al.,

5 Defendants.

6 _____/

7 CECIL ORENZO BOWMAN, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1320 JAM JFM

10 HEALTH NET, INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.

12 _____/

13 JENNIFER KIRK, et al.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1376 MCE DAD

16 HEALTH NET, INC., et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 _____/

19 DONALD JOHNSTON, et al.,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

Civ. No. S-11-1377 GEB DAD

22 HEALTH NET, INC. OF CALIFORNIA,
23 et al.,

24 Defendants.

25 _____/

RELATED CASE ORDER

26 ////

1 Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within
2 the meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Each one stems from an alleged information security incident
3 involving defendants Health Net of California, Health Net and International Business Machines
4 Corporation and raises the same claims for violations of Confidentiality in Medical Information
5 Act, Civil Code Section 56, et seq.; many also raise claims under the Unfair Competition Law,
6 Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq. Accordingly, the assignment of these matters to
7 the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is likely to be
8 convenient for the parties.

9 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
10 to be assigned to the same judge; it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
11 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
12 assigned.

13 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that:

14 1. *Avila v. Health Net*, Civ. No. S-11-1097 JAM KJN is reassigned from Judge
15 Mendez and Magistrate Judge Newman to the undersigned and Magistrate Judge Drozd. The
16 caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be Civ. No. S-11-1097 KJM DAD.

17 2. *Bournas v. Health Net, Inc., et al.*, Civ. No. S-11-1262 GEB DAD is
18 reassigned from Judge Burrell to the undersigned; Magistrate Judge Drozd shall remain the
19 Magistrate Judge. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be Civ. No. S-11-
20 1262 KJM DAD.

21 3. *Bowman v. Health Net, Inc., et al.*, Civ. No. S-11-1320 JAM JFM is reassigned
22 from Judge Mendez to the undersigned and from Magistrate Judge Moulds to Magistrate Judge
23 Drozd. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be Civ. No. S-11-1320 KJM
24 DAD.

25 4. *Kirk, et al. v. Health Net, Inc., et al.*, Civ. No. S-11-1376 MCE DAD is
26 reassigned from Judge England to the undersigned; Magistrate Judge Drozd shall remain the

1 assigned Magistrate Judge. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be Civ.
2 No. S-11-1376 KJM DAD.

3 5. *Johnston, et al. v. Health Net, Inc., of California, et al.*, Civ. No. S-11-1377
4 GEB DAD is reassigned from Judge Burrell to the undersigned. Magistrate Judge Drozd shall
5 remain the assigned Magistrate Judge. The caption on documents filed in the reassigned case
6 shall be Civ. No. S-11-1377 KJM DAD.

7 6. The Clerk of the Court is directed to make appropriate adjustment in the
8 assignment of civil cases to compensate for these reassignments.

9 DATED: June 7, 2011.

10 
11 _____
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26