

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
7

8 JOHN REYNOLDS,

No. CIV S-11-1381-MCE-CMK

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

ORDER

11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the  
15 court is plaintiff's first amended complaint (Doc. 14).

16 This order amends the court's June 16, 2011, order in light of the filing of the  
17 amended complaint. In the June 16, 2011, order, the court determined that service of the original  
18 complaint was appropriate for all defendants. Thereafter, plaintiff effected service of the  
19 complaint. Upon review of the amended complaint, the court also finds that it is appropriate for  
20 service on all defendants. Plaintiff shall effect service of the amended complaint pursuant to  
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: July 12, 2011

24   
25 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**  
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE