

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-11-1391 DAD P

vs.

DERICK, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

_____ /

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has neither paid the filing fee nor filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.


The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same State, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in Los Angeles County, which is located within in the Central District of California. Therefore,

1 plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District
2 of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the
3 wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918,
4 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the
6 United States District Court for the Central District of California.

7 DATED: June 14, 2011.

8
9 
10 _____
11 DALE A. DROZD
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 DAD:12mp
13 rodr1391.21
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26