Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent

26

Doc. 5

1	p
2	c
3	p
4	<u>v</u>
5	d
6	c
7	
8	
9	\$
10	p
11	e
12	p
13	
14	d
15	
16	Iı
17	Γ
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	D
23	
24	
25	

orisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In ertain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel oursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court loes not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of ounsel will therefore be denied.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, either the 350.00 filing fee or a properly completed application to proceed in forma pauperis on the form provided with this order; plaintiff is cautioned that failure to comply with this order or seek an extension of time to do so will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without rejudice;
- 2. Plaintiff's June 6, 2011 motion for the appointment of counsel (Doc. No. 2) is lenied; and
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff an Application to Proceed n Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner for use in a civil rights action.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: June 20, 2011.

26

AD:kly/4 ree1515.3a