1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	BRUCE HUDGINS,)) 2:11-cv-01518-GEB-GGH
10	Plaintiff,))
11	v.) <u>ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT AND</u>) <u>DISPOSITION</u>
12	PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES,) LLC, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,)
13) Defendant.)
14)
15	Plaintiff filed a "Notice of Settlement" on September 21,
16	2011, in which he states, the parties "have reached a settlement." (ECF $$
17	No. 11.) The parties request "a period of 60 days within which to
18	complete the settlement and file a dismissal of the action." $\underline{Id.}$
19	Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no later
20	than November 21, 2011. Failure to respond by this deadline may be
21	construed as consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice, and
22	a dismissal order could be filed. <u>See</u> E.D. Cal. R. 160(b) ("A failure to
23	file dispositional papers on the date prescribed by the Court may be
24	grounds for sanctions.").
25	Further, the Status Conference scheduled for hearing on
26	October 31, 2011, is continued to December 12, 2011, commencing at 9:00
27	a.m., in the event no dispositional document is filed, or if this action
28	

1

1	is not otherwise dismissed. 1 A joint status report shall be filed
2	fourteen (14) days prior to the Status Conference.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: September 21, 2011
5	ANED MI
6	GARLAND E. BURREIL, JR.
7	United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	¹ The Status Conference will remain on calendar, because the
27	mere representation that a case has been settled does not justify vacating a scheduling proceeding. <u>Cf. Callie v. Near</u> , 829 F.2d 888, 890
28	(9th Cir. 1987) (indicating that a representation that claims have been settled does not necessarily establish the existence of a binding
11	settlement agreement).