1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	REGINALD BLOUNT,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV S-11-1580 MCE EFB P
12	VS.
13	BOARD OF PRISON HEARINGS, et al.,
14	
15	Respondents. <u>ORDER</u>
16	/
17	Petitioner seeks a writ of habeas corpus. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On October 28, 2011,
18	respondent filed a motion to dismiss on the ground that the petition fails to state a cognizable
19	claim and that there no longer exists a live controversy. Petitioner has not filed an opposition or
20	a statement of no opposition to respondent's motion to dismiss.
21	A responding party's failure "to file written opposition or to file a statement of no
22	opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may
23	result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the
24	Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or
25	Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may dismiss this action
26	with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See
	1

1

*Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
 dismissing *pro se* plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for *pro se* plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,
petitioner shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.
Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed
without prejudice.

Dated: December 6, 2011.

- Fil m

EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE