

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAURICE WOODSON,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-1589 MCE KJN P

vs.

P. SAHOTA, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

\_\_\_\_\_ /


By order filed May 23, 2012, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On June 22, 2012, plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days in which to file an amended complaint. Thirty days from that date have now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served  
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file  
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.  
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: January 16, 2013

6  
7  
8   
9 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 wood1589.fta.ext

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26