1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	JOSEPH MANUEL ORTIZ,
11	Petitioner, No. 2: 11-cv-1593 JAM GGH P
12	VS.
13	ROBERT H. TRIMBLE, Acting Warden,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
17	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.
19	On July 31, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
25	ORDERED that:
26	/////
	1

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 31, 2012, are adopted in full; and
2	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss claims one, three, four, five and seven as
3	unexhausted, filed on October 28, 2011 (docket # 14), is granted and those claims are stricken;
4	3. Petitioner's motion for a stay and abeyance pending state court exhaustion of
5	unexhausted claims, filed on January 3, 2012 (docket # 18), is denied;
6	4. This matter proceeds only as to claims two, six and eight; and
7	5. Respondent is directed to file an answer within 45 days, after which petitioner
8	is granted 45 days to file a reply/traverse.
9	DATED: September 11, 2012
10	/s/ John A. Mendez
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
20	2
I	

I