WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP	692666.1	- 1 - (CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01626 LKK DAD)			
28	discovery plan in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f). In light of certain				
20	things, that the parties face imminent deadlines relative to meeting and conferring and crafting a				
23 26	•	e pendency of that Conference means, among other			
25	Currently on the Court's calendar for September 6, 2011 is the Initial Status (Pretrial				
24	RI	ECITALS			
23					
22	Defendants.				
21	VALDES, Individually, and DOES 1-100, Inclusive,	Trial Date: Not Yet Set			
20	BUENROSTRO, Individually, KURATO SHIMADA, Individually, CHARLES	Complaint Filed: March 17, 2011 Date Removed: June 16, 2011			
10	MEDCO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, FEDERICO				
17	v.	CONFERENCE			
10	Plaintiff,	CONTINUING INITIAL STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)			
15	on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	STIPULATION AND ORDER			
14 15	MICHAEL DESRYS, as an Individual and	Case No. 11-CV-01626-LKK-DAD			
13	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
11					
10	Attorneys for Defendant MEDCO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC.				
9	Facsimile: (202) 434-5029				
8	725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-5000				
7	JENNIFER G. WICHT (to apply <i>pro hac vice</i>) HOLLY M. CONLEY (to apply <i>pro hac vice</i>)				
6	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP ENU MAINIGI (to apply <i>pro hac vice</i>)				
5	Facsimile: (916) 442-6664				
4	Telephone: (916) 441-2430				
2	400 Capitol Mall, Twenty-Second Floor Sacramento, CA 95814				
2	THOMAS G. REDMON (SBN 047090) DANIEL L. BAXTER (SBN 203862)				
1	WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & F	SIRNEY LIP			

1	recent occur	rrences in this action (d	escribed below), the parties wish to continue the September 6,
2	2011 Conference to a date of the Court's choosing on or after November 14, 2011.		
3	The	parties have recently fil	ed—and the Court has entered—a stipulation and order under
4	which Plain	tiff has twenty days to s	ubmit an amended complaint, and Defendants have thirty days
5	thereafter to	respond. (CM/ECF Do	c. No. 15.) The parties would ideally like to have the case "at
6	issue" befor	re holding the Confere	nce and complying with the various requirements attendant
7	thereto. Co	ontinuance of the Conf	erence to a date on or after November 14 will significantly
8	increase the likelihood that the case will have achieved at-issue status, such that the parties can		
9	undertake their obligations under Rule 26 with the benefit of having the pleadings settled.		
10	STIPULATION		
11	In li	ght of the above, the p	parties HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the Initial
12	Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference shall be continued from September 6, 2011 to a date of		
13	the Court's choosing on or after November 14, 2011.		
14			
15	DATED:	July 27, 2011	WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP
16			
17			By: /s/Daniel L. Baxter
18			DANIEL L. BAXTER Attorneys for Defendant
19			MEDCO HEALTH SOLUTIONS, INC.
20	DATED:	July 27, 2011	HACKARD LAW, a Professional Corporation
21			
22			By: /s/ Michael A. Hackard MICHAEL A. HACKARD
23			Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DESRYS et al.
24	DATED:	July 27, 2011	
25			Put /s/ Charles Valdes
26			By: /s/ Charles Valdes CHARLES VALDES
27			Defendant
28			
WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BUDNEY, LLP	692666.1		- 2 -
BIRNEY, LLP Attorneys At Law Sacramento		STIPULATION AND	D ORDER (CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01626 LKK DAD)

1	Given the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Initial Scheduling Conference,
2	currently scheduled for September 6, 2011 is continued to November 14, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in
3	Courtroom 4. The parties shall file their status reports fourteen (14) days prior to the status
4	conference.
5	
6	Dated: July 28, 2011
7	
8	abourse K Karlton
9	LÀWRENCE K. KARLTON
10	SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	602666 1
WILKE, FLEURY, HOFFELT, GOULD & BIRNEY, LLP Attorneys At Law Sacramento	692666.1 - 3 - STIPULATION AND ORDER (CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01626 LKK DAD)

1		PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I, Teri Vargas, declare:			
3	I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Sacramento County, California. I am			
4	over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address			
5	is 400 Capitol Mall, Twenty-Second Floor, Sacramento, California 95814. On June 27, 2011, I			
6	served a copy of the within document(s):			
7 8		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING INITIAL STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE		
9				
10 11		by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.		
12 13	×	by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Sacramento, California addressed as set forth below.		
14 15		by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope and affixing a pre-paid air bill, and causing the envelope to be delivered to a agent for delivery.		
16 17		by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.		
18 19	4830	es Valdes Kurato Shimada Kipling Drive 8880 Wine Valley Circle ichael, CA 95608 San Jose, CA 95135		
20				
21	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence			
22	for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same			
23	day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on			
24	motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage			
25	meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
26	I decl	are that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose		
27	direction the	service was made.		
28	///			
RY, LD & .P	692666.1	- 4 -		
LAW		STIPULATION AND ORDER (CASE NO. 2:11-CV-01626 LKK DAD)		

WILKE, FLEUF Hoffelt, Goui Birney, LLI ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO

