1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GEORGE S. LOUIE,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-1638 JAM GGH (TEMP)
12	VS.
13	SUTTER COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT, et al.,
14	Defendants. ORDER
15	
16	This matter was initially referred to the undersigned for pretrial management
17	because plaintiff was proceeding pro se. Plaintiff filed a proposed substitution of attorney, which
18	was approved by the District Judge on June 23, 2011. Because plaintiff is now represented by
19	counsel, pretrial matters, other than discovery motions, should now be noticed before the District
20	Judge assigned to this action. The magistrate judge shall continue to perform all duties described
21	in Local Rule 302(c)(1)-(20).
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
23	1. This matter is referred back to the District Judge assigned to this action.
24	2. All dates pending before the undersigned are vacated; and
25	
26	
	1

1	3. Henceforth the caption on documents filed in this action shall be
2	CIV S-11-1638 JAM GGH.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	DATED: June 27, 2011
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	louie.ref
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
21	
22	
24	
25	
26	
-	2

I