

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL L. OVERTON,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-1646 LKK JFM (PC)

vs.

WARDEN, CMF VACAVILLE,

Defendant.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

By order filed July 29, 2011, plaintiff was granted thirty days in which to file a complaint. In addition, plaintiff was granted thirty days in which to either file an application to proceed in forma pauperis or to pay the \$350.00 filing fee for this action. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or an in forma pauperis application, nor has he paid the filing fee or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the objections shall be filed and served
2 within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
3 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.
4 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 DATED: September 13, 2011.

6
7 
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 12
10 over1646.fta

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26