

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN C. WARD,
Plaintiff,
v.
RICHARD IVES, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-1657-GEB-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is proceeding without counsel in an action brought pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act (“FTCA”) claim and First Amendment and Eighth Amendment claims under *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On September 30, 2014, the assigned district judge adopted this court’s September 4, 2014 findings and recommendations, granted defendants’ motion to dismiss certain claims and gave plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days addressing the deficiencies on the Eighth Amendment claim alleged against defendants Salinas, Binford, and Fieber. That order also warned plaintiff that failure to timely file an amended complaint could result in this action being dismissed.

The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

1 A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for
2 imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
3 inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or
4 without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. *See Ferdik v.*
5 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
6 dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
7 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
8 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
9 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

10 Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED. Fed. R.
11 Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.

12 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
13 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
14 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
15 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
16 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the
17 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
18 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
19 appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*
20 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

21 Dated: November 17, 2014.

22 
23 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25
26
27
28