(PC) West v.	. Pettigrew et al
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	MACK WEST,
10	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-1692 JFM (PC)
11	VS.
12	R. PETTIGREW, et al.,
13	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
14	
15	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to
16	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 29, 2013, plaintiff filed a document styled as objections to the
17	revised scheduling order (ECF No. 81) and the order resolving several pending motions (ECF
18	No. 80) both filed on August 15, 2013. The objections are addressed to the magistrate judge, and
19	are construed by the undersigned as a request for reconsideration of both orders. Defendants
20	oppose the request (ECF No. 85). After review of the objections filed by plaintiff and
21	defendants' opposition thereto, the court finds no basis for reconsideration of either order.
22	/////
23	/////
24	/////
25	/////
26	/////
	1

Doc. 86

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's August 29, 2013 filing (ECF No. 83) is construed as a request for reconsideration of this court's August 15, 2013 orders and, so construed, is denied.

Dated: September 6, 2013

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

west1692.rec