1	United States Attorneys				
2	LYNN TRINKA ERNCE Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100				
3					
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2720				
5	Attorneys for the United States of America				
6					
7					
8	IN THE UNITED ST	TATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	JOSEPH HELM,	Case No. 2:11-cv-01703 MCE EFB			
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REQUESTING			
13	V.	MODIFICATION OF SCHEDULING ORDER			
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,				
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	The parties to this action, by and through t	their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree			
18	as follows:				
19	1. On December 20, 2	2012, the Court entered its Status (Pretrial Scheduling			
20	Order. Docket 28. The Court set t	the following deadlines for this case:			
21	Discovery Cutoff	June 21, 2013			
22	Expert Witness Disclosure Supplemental Expert Witness Disc				
23	Dispositive Motions Heard By Joint Pretrial Conference Statemen				
24	Evidentiary/Procedural Motions D Oppositions to Evidentiary/Proced				
25	Replies to Evidentiary/Procedural Final Pretrial Conference	March 6, 2014			
26	Trial Briefs Due Trial	February 13, 2014 April 21, 2014			
27	///				
28	///				

1	2. This case involves allegations by plaintiff that Jay Negus, a former United	
2	States Postal Service employee, who was acting in the course and scope of his federal	
3	employment at the time of the incident, caused an auto accident that injured plaintiff.	
4	3. Criminal charges against Negus are pending in San Joaquin Superior	
5	Court. The trial date has been moved a number of times, most recently, from May 10,	
6	2013 to August 2, 2013. Negus' attorneys have stated that they would not make Negus	
7	available for deposition in this civil action until his criminal matter has concluded and	
8	that, if Negus is subpoenaed to testify at deposition, they will instruct him to assert his	
9	Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination in response to questions concerning	
10	the auto accident.	
11	4. Under the circumstances, it is not possible for Negus to give meaningful	
12	testimony at a deposition in this civil action prior to the current June 21, 2013 discovery	
13	cutoff, and the parties believe that good cause exists for the Court to modify its	
14	scheduling order so that Negus may be deposed after the criminal matter has concluded.	
15	5. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court modify the	
16	scheduling order to extend the discovery cutoff date from June 21, 2013 to September 27,	
17	2013.	
18	6. Since the parties are not asking the Court to change any of the other dates	
19	at this time, modification of the scheduling order will not prejudice any party, and should	
20	not negatively impact the Court's schedule for this case. However, if the Negus trial is	
21	rescheduled again, it may be necessary for the parties to seek further modification of the	
22	scheduling order.	
23	DATED: May 22, 2013  BENJAMIN B. WAGNER	
24	United States Attorney By: <u>/s/Lynn Trinka Ernce</u> LYNN TRINKA ERNCE	
25	Assistant United States Attorney	
26		
27		
28		

1	DATED: May 22, 2013	By: /s/ Russell A. Robinson
2		Russell A. Robinson Attorney for Plaintiff
3		<u>ORDER</u>
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: May 30, 2013	
6		
7		MORRISON C. ENCLAND ID CHIEF HIDGE
8		MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		