(HC) Broadbent v. Martel Doc. 37

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMUAL BROADBENT, Petitioner, vs. M. MARTEL, Warden, Mule Creek State Prison, Respondent.	No. 2:11-cv-01711-JKS ORDER [Re: Motions at Docket Nos. 35 and 36]

At Docket Numbers 35 and 36, Jamual Broadbent, a state prisoner appearing *pro se*, filed motions to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. There is no filing fee required for a state prisoner to appeal from the denial of a petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.[1] Accordingly,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT the Motions to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* at Docket Numbers 35 and 36 are **DENIED** as moot.

Dated: January 29, 2013.

/s/ James K. Singleton, Jr.
JAMES K. SINGLETON, JR.
United States District Judge

[1] *Naddi v. Hill*, 106 F.3d 275, 277 (9th Cir. 1997).

Dockets.Justia.com