Ш

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JAMUAL BROADBENT, No. CIV S-11-1711-CMK-P
12	Petitioner,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	M. MARTEL,
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner seeks the appointment of counsel (Doc.
19	2). There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings.
20	See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A
21	authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so
22	require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not
23	find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present
24	time.
25	///
26	///
	1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. 2) is denied without prejudice to renewal, at the earliest, after an answer to the petition has been filed.

DATED: June 29, 2011

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE