| 1  |   |
|----|---|
| 2  |   |
| 3  |   |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |
| 10 | EDWARD WILLIAMS,  |
| 11 | Petitioner, No. 2: 11-cv-1762 WBS KJN P   |
| 12 | VS.   |
| 13 | MIKE MARTEL,  |
| 14 | Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>  |
| 15 | /   |
| 16 | Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ          |
| 17 | of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On November 14, 2011, the magistrate judge     |
| 18 | recommended that the petition be denied. On November 21, 2011, the findings and               |
| 19 | recommendations were returned unserved with a notation that petitioner had been "discharged." |
| 20 | On January 3, 2012, the undersigned adopted the findings and recommendations.                 |
| 21 | In this order, the undersigned observed that the findings and recommendations served on       |
| 22 | petitioner were returned although petitioner was properly served. On January 10, 2012, the    |
| 23 | January 3, 2012 order was returned unserved with a notation that petitioner's name and the    |
| 24 | CDCR number on the order did not match. On January 11, 2010, the January 3, 2012 order was    |
| 25 | re-served on petitioner.  |
| 26 | ////  |
|    | 1   |
|    |   |

| 1  | On January 18, 2012, petitioner filed a motion for reconsideration of the January                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | 3, 2012 order. This motion is construed as a request for relief from judgment pursuant to Federal   |
| 3  | Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b).  |
| 4  | In the request for relief from judgment, petitioner states that he has been                         |
| 5  | incarcerated at Mule Creek State Prison since he filed the original habeas petition in this action. |
| 6  | Because it appears that the November 14, 2011 findings and recommendations were improperly          |
| 7  | returned, the undersigned orders this action reopened and directs the Clerk of the Court to re-     |
| 8  | serve the findings and recommendations on petitioner.   |
| 9  | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:   |
| 10 | 1. Petitioner's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 17), construed as a request                    |
| 11 | for relief from judgment, is granted;   |
| 12 | 2. This action is reopened and judgment is vacated;   |
| 13 | 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to re-serve the November 14, 2011 findings                    |
| 14 | and recommendations on petitioner.  |
| 15 | DATED: January 25, 2012   |
| 16 | million & Shibt   |
| 17 | WILLIAM B. SHUBB<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 | will1762.ord  |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
|    | 2   |

I