1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	RAUL MONTANO,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-1798-GEB-KJN-P
12	VS.
13	D. REYES, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	On July 27, 2012, all defendants, with the exception of defendant Reyes, were
17	dismissed from this action. (See Dkt. Nos. 9. 10.)
18	Meanwhile, by order filed May 30, 2012, this court directed plaintiff to complete
19	and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 form necessary to effect service on sole
20	remaining defendant Reyes. That thirty-day period has passed, and plaintiff has not responded in
21	any way to the court's order.
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed
23	without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).
24	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States
25	District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within
26	fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file
	1

1	written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings
2	and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
3	fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
4	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
5	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
6	DATED: August 2, 2012
7	
8	KENDALLI NEWMAN
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	mont1798.fusm.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2

I