



1           Furthermore, a party’s failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules “may be  
2 grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or  
3 within the inherent power of the Court.” E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may recommend  
4 that an action be dismissed with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order  
5 or the Local Rules. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did  
6 not abuse discretion in dismissing pro se plaintiff’s complaint for failing to obey an order to re-  
7 file an amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856  
8 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff’s failure to comply with local  
9 rule regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

10           Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,  
11 plaintiff shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.  
12 Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed  
13 without prejudice.

14 DATED: September 5, 2013.

15   
16 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28