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BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney
KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney
501 I Street, Suite 10-100
Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916)554-2700
Attorneys for the United States

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
```

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9445
FRUITRIDGE ROAD, SACRAMENTO,
CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO COUNTY,
APN NOS: 063-0060-045 AND
063-0060-046 INCLUDING ALL
DATE: N/A
APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS
TIME: N/A THERETO,

Defendant.

The United States, and Claimants David Johns, Mary Johns, and Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson, Trustees of the Nilson Family Revocable Trust (hereafter referred to collectively as "claimants"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate that a stay is necessary in the above-entitled action, and request that the Court enter an order staying further proceedings until December 1, 2011 due to an on-going criminal investigation into marijuana cultivation at 9445 Fruitridge Road, Sacramento, California (hereafter "defendant property").

Stipulation to Stay Further Proceedings and Order

David and Mary Johns are the record owners of the defendant property, which is an approximately fourteen acre parcel with a business office, a large greenhouse facility, and a residence.

1. Each of the claimants has filed a claim to the defendant property. Claimants David Johns, Mary Johns, Major Amos Nilson, and Lucy H. Nilson have not yet filed their Answers and will not be required to do so until the stay contemplated by this stipulation expires.
2. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§

981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § $881(i)$. The United States contends that the defendant property was used to facilitate the cultivation of marijuana.
3. To date, several individuals have been charged with federal criminal crimes related to marijuana cultivation at the defendant property, Case Nos. 2:11-CR-0275-JAM-DAD and 2:11-CR-0276-JAM-DAD; but neither David Johns nor Mary Johns have been charged with any criminal offense by state, local, or federal authorities. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential criminal charges relating to the marijuana grow at the defendant property. Nevertheless, the United States intends to depose claimants David and Mary Johns regarding their ownership of the defendant property, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the marijuana grow at the defendant property. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant
property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claims they filed with this court.
4. In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Internal Revenue Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would adversely affect the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal conduct.
5. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time has potential adverse effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove their claim to the property and to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly request that these matters be stayed until December 1, 2011, in accordance with the terms of this stipulation. At that time the parties will advise the court of / / /
the status of the criminal investigation, if any, and will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.

Dated: 9/14/11
BENJAMIN B. WAGNER
United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kevin C. Khasigian
KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: Sept. 13, 2011

> | /s/ Kristin S. Door |
| :--- |
| KRISTIN S. DOOR |
| Attorney for claimants |
| David Johns and Mary Johns |

Dated: 9/14/11
/s/ Douglas A. MacDonald by Timothy
E. Hodgson, Esq. CSB\# 108398

DOUGLAS A. MACDONALD
Attorney for claimants
Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H.
Nilson
(Signatures retained by attorney)

## ORDER

For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ $981(g)(1), 981(g)(2)$, and 21 U.S.C. § 881 (i) until December 1, 2011. On or before December 1, 2011, the parties will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: 9/14/2011
/s/ John A. Mendez
JOHN A. MENDEZ
United States District Judge

