BENJAMIN B. WAGNER 1 United States Attorney KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916)554-2700 4 Attorneys for the United States 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 2:11-CV-01902-JAM-DAD 11 Plaintiff, REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF STAY OF FURTHER 12 PROCEEDINGS AND 13 ORDER REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9445 FRUITRIDGE ROAD, SACRAMENTO. 14 CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO COUNTY, DATE: N/A APN NOS: 063-0060-045 AND TIME: N/A 15 COURTROOM: N/A 063-0060-046 INCLUDING ALL 16 APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS THERETO. 17 Defendant. 18 19 The United States of America and David Johns, Mary Johns, and Major 20 Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson, Trustees of the Nilson Family Revocable Trust 21 (hereafter referred to collectively as "claimants") submit the following Request for Extension of Stay of Further Proceedings and Proposed Order. 22 23 This matter was previously stayed on September 15, 2011, November 28, 2011, February 1, 2012, May 1, 2012, and August 1, 2012 based on the on-going 24 25 criminal investigation into marijuana cultivation at 9445 Fruitridge Road, Sacramento, California (hereafter "defendant property"). To date, several 26 individuals have been charged with federal criminal crimes related to marijuana 27 cultivation at the defendant property, Case Nos. 2:11-CR-00275-JAM and 28 1 Request for Extension of Stay of Further Proceedings and Proposed Order

2:11-CR-00276-JAM; but neither David Johns nor Mary Johns, the record owners of the defendant property, have been charged with any criminal offense by state, local, or federal authorities.

- 1. Each of the claimants has filed a claim to the defendant property.

  Claimants David Johns, Mary Johns, Major Amos Nilson, and Lucy H. Nilson have not yet filed their Answers and will not be required to do so until the stay contemplated by this stipulation expires.
- 2. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i). The United States contends that the defendant property was used to facilitate the cultivation of marijuana.
- 3. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential criminal charges relating to the marijuana grow at the defendant property. Nevertheless, the United States intends to depose claimants David and Mary Johns regarding their ownership of the defendant property, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the marijuana grow at the defendant property. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claims they filed with this court.
- 4. In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Internal Revenue Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would adversely affect the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal conduct.

I.	1	·
1	5. The parties recognize the	at proceeding with these actions at this time
2	has potential adverse effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct	
3	and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove their claim to the property and to assert	
4	any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly request that the	
5	matter be stayed until January 30, 2013, in accordance with the terms of this	
6	stipulation. At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the	
7	criminal investigation, if any, and will advise the court whether a further stay is	
8	necessary.	
9	Dated: <u>11/1/12</u>	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
10		Officed States Attorney
11	By:	/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN
12		Assistant U.S. Attorney
13	Dated: <u>11-1-2012</u>	/s/ Brenda Grantland
14		BRENDA GRANTLAND
15		Attorney for claimants David Johns and Mary Johns
16	Datadi Nav. 9, 2012	/a/ Timethy E. Hodgeen for
17	Dated: Nov. 2, 2012	/s/ Timothy E. Hodgson for DOUGLAS A. MACDONALD
18		Attorney for claimants Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson
19		(Signatures retained by attorney)
20		
21	ORDER	
22	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to 18 U.S.C.	
23	§§ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i) until January 30, 2013. On or before	
24	January 30, 2013, the parties will advise the court whether a further stay is	
25	necessary.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: 11/2/2012	/s/ John A. Mendez
28		United States District Court Judge
I.	1	