I

1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney		
2	KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U.S. Attorney		
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for the United States		
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:11-CV-01902-JAM-DAD	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF STAY OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND ORDER	
14	REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9445 FRUITRIDGE ROAD, SACRAMENTO,		
15	CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO COUNTY, APN NOS: 063-0060-045 AND 063-0060-046	DATE: N/A TIME: N/A	
16	INCLUDING ALL APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS THERETO,	COURTROOM: N/A	
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	The United States of America and David Johns, Mary Johns, and Major Amos Nilson and Lucy		
20	H. Nilson, Trustees of the Nilson Family Revocable Trust (hereafter referred to collectively as		
21	"claimants") submit the following Request for Ex	xtension of Stay of Further Proceedings and Proposed	
22	Order.		
23	This matter was previously stayed on September 15, 2011, November 28, 2011, February 1, 2012		
24	May 1, 2012, August 1, 2012, November 1, 2012, January 30, 2013, April 30, 2013, July 30, 2013,		
25	October 30, 2013, March 27, 2014, September 8, 2014, and March 4, 2015, based on the on-going		
26	criminal investigation into marijuana cultivation at 9445 Fruitridge Road, Sacramento, California		
27	(hereafter "defendant property"). To date, several individuals have been charged with federal criminal		
28	crimes related to marijuana cultivation at the defendant property, Case Nos. 2:11-CR-00275-JAM and		

2:11-CR-00276-JAM; but neither David Johns nor Mary Johns, the record owners of the defendant
 property, have been charged with any criminal offense by state, local, or federal authorities.

Each of the claimants has filed a claim to the defendant property. Claimants David Johns,
 Mary Johns, Major Amos Nilson, and Lucy H. Nilson have not yet filed their Answers and will not be
 required to do so until the stay contemplated by this stipulation expires.

C. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i).
The United States contends that the defendant property was used to facilitate the cultivation of marijuana.

8 3. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential 9 criminal charges relating to the marijuana grow at the defendant property. Nevertheless, the United 10 States intends to depose claimants David and Mary Johns regarding their ownership of the defendant 11 property, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the 12 marijuana grow at the defendant property. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in 13 the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and 14 losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment 15 rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth 16 Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the 17 claims they filed with this court.

In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this
 investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the
 Internal Revenue Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would
 adversely affect the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal
 conduct.

5. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time has potential adverse
effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove
their claim to the property and to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly
request that the matter be stayed until December 29, 2015, in accordance with the terms of this

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

1	stipulation. At that time the parties will advise th	e court of the status of the criminal investigation, if any,
2	and will advise the court whether a further stay is	necessary.
3	Dated: <u>9/21/2015</u>	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
4		Onited States Attorney
5	By:	<u>/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian</u> KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN
6		Assistant U.S. Attorney
7		
8	Dated: <u>9/21/2015</u>	<u>/s/ Brenda Grantland</u> BRENDA GRANTLAND
9		Attorney for claimants David Johns and Mary Johns
10		
11	Dated: <u>9/21/2015</u>	<u>/s/ Douglas A. MacDonald</u> DOUGLAS A. MACDONALD
12		Attorney for claimants Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson
13		(Signatures authorized by email)
14		
15		ORDER
16	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to $18 \text{ U.S.C. } \$ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2),$	
17	and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i) until December 29, 2015. On or before December 29, 2015, the parties will	
18	advise the court whether a further stay is necessar	y.
18 19	advise the court whether a further stay is necessar IT IS SO ORDERED.	y.
19 20		/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
19 20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez
19 20 21 22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	IT IS SO ORDERED.	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ