(TEMP) USA v. R	eal property located a	t 9445 Fruitridge Roa	ad, Sacos: 063-00	60-045 and 063-0060-046
		-		

1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney					
2	KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant U.S. Attorney					
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814					
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2700					
5	Attorneys for the United States					
6						
7						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10						
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:11-CV-01902-JAM-EFB				
12	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF STAY OF				
13	v.	FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND ORDER				
14	REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9445 FRUITRIDGE ROAD, SACRAMENTO,					
15	CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO COUNTY, APN NOS: 063-0060-045 AND 063-0060-046	DATE: N/A TIME: N/A				
16	INCLUDING ALL APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS THERETO,	COURTROOM: N/A				
17	Defendant.					
18						
19	The United States of America and David Johns, Mary Johns, and Major Amos Nilson and Lucy					
20	H. Nilson, Trustees of the Nilson Family Revocable Trust (hereafter referred to collectively as					
21	"claimants") submit the following Request for Extension of Stay of Further Proceedings and Proposed					
22	Order.					
23	This matter was previously stayed on September 15, 2011, November 28, 2011, February 1, 2012					
24	May 1, 2012, August 1, 2012, November 1, 2012, January 30, 2013, April 30, 2013, July 30, 2013,					
25	October 30, 2013, March 27, 2014, September 8, 2014, March 4, 2015, and September 22, 2015, based					
26	on the on-going criminal investigation into marijuana cultivation at 9445 Fruitridge Road, Sacramento,					
27	California (hereafter "defendant property"). To date, several individuals have been charged with federal					
28	criminal crimes related to marijuana cultivation at the defendant property, Case Nos. 2:11-CR-00275-					

JAM and 2:11-CR-00276-JAM; but neither David Johns nor Mary Johns, the record owners of the
 defendant property, have been charged with any criminal offense by state, local, or federal authorities.

Each of the claimants has filed a claim to the defendant property. Claimants David Johns,
 Mary Johns, Major Amos Nilson, and Lucy H. Nilson have not yet filed their Answers and will not be
 required to do so until the stay contemplated by this stipulation expires.

C. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i).
The United States contends that the defendant property was used to facilitate the cultivation of marijuana.

8 3. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential 9 criminal charges relating to the marijuana grow at the defendant property. Nevertheless, the United 10 States intends to depose claimants David and Mary Johns regarding their ownership of the defendant 11 property, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the 12 marijuana grow at the defendant property. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in 13 the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and 14 losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment 15 rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth 16 Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the 17 claims they filed with this court.

In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this
 investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the
 Internal Revenue Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would
 adversely affect the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal
 conduct.

5. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time has potential adverse
effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove
their claim to the property and to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly
request that the matter be stayed until February 29, 2016, in accordance with the terms of this

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

2

1	stipulation. At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal investigation, if any,				
2	and will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.				
3	Dated: <u>12/28/15</u>	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney			
4		United States Attorney			
5	By:	<u>/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian</u> KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN			
6		Assistant U.S. Attorney			
7					
8	Dated: <u>12/24/15</u>	<u>/s/ Brenda Grantland</u> BRENDA GRANTLAND			
9		Attorney for claimants David Johns and Mary Johns			
10		2			
11	Dated: <u>12/24/15</u>	<u>/s/ Douglas A. MacDonald</u> DOUGLAS A. MACDONALD			
12		Attorney for claimants Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson			
13		(Signatures authorized by email)			
14					
15	ORDER				
16	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to $18 \text{ U.S.C. } \$ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2),$				
17	and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i) until February 29, 2016. On or before February 29, 2016, the parties will advise				
18	the court whether a further stay is necessary.				
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
20	Dated: 12/28/2015	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ			
21		United States District Court Judge			
22					
23					
24					
25					
26 27					
27 28					
20					
		3 Request for Extension of Stay of Further			

Proceedings and Order