(TEMP) USA	v. Real property	located at 9445 I	Fruitridge Road,	Sacos:	063-0060-045	and 063-0060-046
. ,			-			

1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER						
2	United States Attorney KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN						
3	Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100						
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700						
5	Attorneys for the United States						
6							
7							
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:11-CV-01902-JAM-EFB					
12	Plaintiff,	REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF STAY OF					
13	v.	FURTHER PROCEEDINGS AND ORDER					
14	REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 9445 FRUITRIDGE ROAD, SACRAMENTO,						
15	CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO COUNTY, APN NOS: 063-0060-045 AND 063-0060-046	DATE: N/A TIME: N/A					
16	INCLUDING ALL APPURTENANCES AND IMPROVEMENTS THERETO,	COURTROOM: N/A					
17	Defendant.						
18	Derendant.						
19	The United States of America and David Johns, Mary Johns, and Major Amos Nilson and Lucy						
20	H. Nilson, Trustees of the Nilson Family Revocable Trust (hereafter referred to collectively as						
21	"claimants") submit the following Request for Extension of Stay of Further Proceedings and Proposed						
22	Order.						
23	This matter was previously stayed on September 15, 2011, November 28, 2011, February 1, 2012						
24	May 1, 2012, August 1, 2012, November 1, 2012, January 30, 2013, April 30, 2013, July 30, 2013,						
25	October 30, 2013, March 27, 2014, September 8, 2014, March 4, 2015, September 22, 2015, December						
26	28, 2015, and February 26, 2016, based on the on-going criminal investigation into marijuana cultivation						
27	at 9445 Fruitridge Road, Sacramento, California (hereafter "defendant property"). To date, several						
28	ndividuals have been charged with federal criminal crimes related to marijuana cultivation at the						

defendant property, Case Nos. 2:11-CR-00275-JAM and 2:11-CR-00276-JAM; but neither David Johns
 nor Mary Johns, the record owners of the defendant property, have been charged with any criminal
 offense by state, local, or federal authorities.

Each of the claimants has filed a claim to the defendant property. Claimants David Johns,
 Mary Johns, Major Amos Nilson, and Lucy H. Nilson have not yet filed their Answers and will not be
 required to do so until the stay contemplated by this stipulation expires.

7 2. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i).
8 The United States contends that the defendant property was used to facilitate the cultivation of marijuana.

9 3. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential 10 criminal charges relating to the marijuana grow at the defendant property. Nevertheless, the United 11 States intends to depose claimants David and Mary Johns regarding their ownership of the defendant property, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the 12 13 marijuana grow at the defendant property. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in 14 the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and 15 losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant property, or waiving their Fifth Amendment 16 rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth 17 Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the 18 claims they filed with this court.

In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this
 investigation, including but not limited to the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the
 Internal Revenue Service. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would
 adversely affect the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal
 conduct.

5. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time has potential adverse
effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimants' ability to prove
their claim to the property and to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly
request that the matter be stayed until August 29, 2016, in accordance with the terms of this stipulation.
///

1	At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal investigation, if any, and will						
2	advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.						
3	Dated: <u>5/24/16</u>	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney					
4		Office States Attorney					
5	By:	<u>/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian</u> KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN					
6		Assistant U.S. Attorney					
7							
8	Dated: <u>5/24/16</u>	<u>/s/ Brenda Grantland</u> BRENDA GRANTLAND					
9 10		Attorney for claimants David Johns and Mary Johns (Signature authorized by email)					
11		(Signature authorized by entail)					
12	Dated: <u>5/26/16</u>	<u>/s/ Douglas A. MacDonald</u> DOUGLAS A. MACDONALD					
13		Attorney for claimants Major Amos Nilson and Lucy H. Nilson					
14		(Signature authorized by email)					
15	ORDER						
16	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2),						
17	and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i) until August 29, 2016. On or before August 29, 2016, the parties will advise the						
18	court whether a further stay is necessary.						
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
20	Dated: 5/26/2016	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ					
21		United States District Court Judge					
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
		3 Request for Extension of Stay of Further					

Proceedings and Order