1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID SMITH,	No. 11-cv-1918 JAM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND
14	KIESZ, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff has requested a seventh extension of time to file his pretrial statement. When the	
18	court granted plaintiff a sixth thirty-day extension on December 17, 2014, the court indicated that,	
19	absent extraordinary cause, no further extensions of time would be granted. Plaintiff requests	
20	another extension of time based upon his contracting an upper respiratory illness around the	
21	beginning of the year which resulted in plaintiff making three trips to a physician shortly	
22	thereafter. Plaintiff presents evidence indicating he was prescribed cough syrup and an antibiotic	
23	on January 8.	
24	Plaintiff fails to indicate what, if any, progress he had made toward completing his pretrial	
25	statement before he became sick. Also, plaintiff fails to point to evidence indicating that the	
26	illness from which he suffered was so debilitating that he could not reasonably do any work on	
27	/////	
28	/////	

his pretrial statement while he was sick. Based upon these facts, the court cannot find that 1 2 plaintiff has demonstrated extraordinary cause to grant another extension of time. 3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for an extension of time 4 (ECF No. 98) is denied; and 5 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice under 6 Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 7 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 8 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 9 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 10 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 11 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 12 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 13 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 14 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 15 Dated: January 22, 2015 16 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 17 18 19 20 smit1918.36(7) 21 22 23 24 25 26 There is only one defendant remaining in this action and only one claim for a violation of the Eighth Amendment. See ECF No. 73. That being the case, completion of a pretrial statement. 27

something plaintiff has been aware he must file for the past seven months, is not a task

demanding a lengthy period of time to complete.

28