1	LYNN HUBBARD III, SBN 69773 SCOTTLYNN I HUBBARD IV SBN	J 21297	0
2	SCOTTLYNN J HUBBARD IV, SBN DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, A	APLC	0
3	12 Williamsburg Lane Chico, CA 95926 Talaphana: (520) 805-3252		
4	Telephone: (530) 895-3252 Facsimile: (530) 894-8244		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff LARY FEEZO	R	
6	WESLEY J. HAMMOND, SBN 1711 WILD, CARTER & TIPTON PC	72	
7	246 West Shaw Avenue		
8	Fresno, CA 93704 Telephone: (559) 224-2131 Facsimile: (559) 224-8462		
9		7 7	
10	Attorneys for Defendant PROSPERIT PROPERTIES BTM, LLC	Ϋ́Υ	
11			
12	UNITED STAT	TES DIS	TRICT COURT
13	EASTERN DIST	RICT C	OF CALIFORNIA
14			
15	LARY FEEZOR,		Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK
16	Plaintiff,		CTIDUL ATION FOD DIGMICS AL and
17	V.		STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL and ORDER THEREON
18	TATA FOODS CORP. dba DENNY'S		
19	#6886; PROSPERITY PROPERTIES BTM, LLC,		
20	Defendants.	,	
21		/	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	Stipulation for Dismissal and Order Thereon	- 1 -	Feezor v. Tata Foods Corp., et al. Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK
			Destate lustic

1	TO THE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES:			
2	Plaintiff, LARY FEEZOR, and defendant, PROSPERITY PROPERTIES BTM,			
3	LLC, stipulate to and jointly request that this Court enter a dismissal with prejudice			
4	of plaintiff's complaint in the above-referenced action in its entirety pursuant to Fed.			
5	R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).			
6	Detect: April 12, 2012 DISADLED ADVOCACY CDOUD ADLC			
7	Dated: April 12, 2012 DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC			
8	<u>/s/ Lynn Hubbard</u>			
9	Attorney for Plaintiff Lary Feezor			
10	Dated: April 12, 2012 WILD, CARTER & TIPTON PC			
11				
12	<u>/s/ Wesley J. Hammond</u> WESLEY J. HAMMOND			
13	Attorney for Plaintiff PROSPERITY PROPERTIES BTM, LLC			
14				
15				
16				
16 17	<u>ORDER</u>			
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No.			
17 18				
17 18 19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No.			
17 18 19 20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice.			
17 18 19 20 21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice.			
17 18 19 20	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			
17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint of plaintiff, USDC Case No. 2:11-cv-01965-LKK-CMK, is hereby dismissed with prejudice. Dated: April 13, 2012.			