1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	LEE THIEL PAYNE,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-1970 LKK EFB P
12	VS.
13	J. MARTIN, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. The U.S. Marshal was unable to effect service of process on defendant Martin
18	based on the information provided by plaintiff. Therefore, on October 17, 2012, the court
19	directed plaintiff to provide new instructions for service. Plaintiff has not provided new
20	instructions or otherwise responded to the court's order. The court has given plaintiff two
21	opportunities to locate defendant Martin and warned plaintiff that failure to provide new
22	instructions or to show good cause for such failure would result in a recommendation that this
23	action be dismissed as to defendant Martin. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) (service of process must be
24	effected within 120 days of the filing of the complaint unless plaintiff demonstrates good cause).
25	////
26	////

1

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that defendant Martin be dismissed without
prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: December 19, 2012.

ÉDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE