1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	BRANKO MAJSTORIC,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-2006 KJN P
12	VS.
13	DEPUTY HOMEN,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding without counsel. On April 23, 2012, plaintiff
17	filed two motions: a motion to oppose dismissal for failure to exhaust, and a motion to oppose
18	summary judgment. (Dkt. Nos. 23, 24.) However, defendant has not filed a motion to dismiss
19	for failure to exhaust, or a motion for summary judgment. It appears plaintiff may be attempting
20	to pro-actively oppose the filing of any such motion because he asks the court to oppose "any"
21	motions for summary judgment or to dismiss for failure to exhaust. Plaintiff is advised that he
22	must wait to file an opposition until the defendant files such a motion. Therefore, plaintiff's
23	motions "to oppose" are denied as premature.
24	////
25	////
26	////
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEDEDY ODDEDED that algorithes April 22, 2012 mations
1 2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's April 23, 2012 motions
2	are denied. (Dkt. Nos. 23, 24.) DATED: May 10, 2012
4	DATED. May 10, 2012
5	To 100 Pala
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	majs2006.den
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2