(HC) Coats v	v. McDonald
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	WILLIAM THOMAS COATS,
11	Petitioner, No. CIV-S-11-2028 CKD P
12	VS.
13	MICHAEL MCDONALD
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	
16	Petitioner has requested a certificate of appealability under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)
17	with respect to the court's August 5, 2011 dismissal of this action. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
18	636(c), petitioner has consented to all proceedings in this matter being held before a United
19	States Magistrate Judge.
20	A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the
21	applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.
22	§ 2253(c)(2). When a habeas petition is dismissed on procedural grounds, a certificate of
23	appealability should issue when the prisoner shows that jurists of reason would find it debatable
24	whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of
25	reason would find it debatable whether dismissal was correct. Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473,
26	484-85 (2000).

Doc. 10

Petitioner's habeas petition was not dismissed. It was simply transferred to another case pursuant to the Ninth Circuit's decision in <u>Woods v. Carey</u>, 525 F.3d 886, 890 (9th Cir. 2008). There is not good cause for appeal.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability is denied.

Dated: August 17, 2011

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

coat2028.coa