(PC) Modica	v. Cox et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		•
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ANTOINE E. MODICA, SR.,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:11-cv-02163 DAD P
12	VS.	
13	B. COX, et al.,	ORDER OF REFERRAL FOR
14	Defendants.	SETTLEMENT WEEK AND SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
15		
16	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights pursuant to	
17	42 U.S.C. § 1983. The court has determined that this case will benefit from a settlement	
18	conference; therefore, this case will be referred to Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan to	
19	conduct a settlement conference at the U.S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California	
20	95814 in Courtroom #8 on June 10, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. as part of the court's Settlement Week	
21	Program.	
22	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
23	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Edmund F	
24	Brennan on June 10, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento,	
25	California 95814 in Courtroom #8.	
26	/////	
		1

Doc. 54

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

1415

16

/////

17 18

1920

2122

2324

25

26

2. A representative with full and unlimited authority to negotiate and enter into a binding settlement on defendants' behalf shall attend in person.¹

3. Those in attendance must be prepared to discuss the claims, defenses and damages. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person subject to this order to appear in person may result in the imposition of sanctions. In addition, the conference will not proceed and will be reset to another date.

4. Each party shall provide a confidential settlement conference statement to Sujean Park, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814, or via e-mail at spark@caed.uscourts.gov, so they arrive no later than June 3, 2013, and file a Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Conference Statement (See Local Rule 270(d)).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:

While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement conferences... ." <u>United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands</u>, 694 F.3d 1051, 1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the settlement conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F. 3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. Pittman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz, 2003), amended on recon, in part, Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F. 3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

1	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.	
2	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other	
3	grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of	
4	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.	
5	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.	
6	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery,	
7	pretrial, and trial.	
8	e. The relief sought.	
9	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and	
10	offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.	
11	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the	
12	settlement conference.	
13	DATED: April 29, 2013.	
14	2, 4, 2, 4	
15	Dale A. Dryd DALE A. DROZD	
16	DAD:9 modi2163.med UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17	modi2100 med	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		