

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

11 CONSERVATION CONGRESS, ET AL.,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 J. SHARON HEYWOOD, ET AL.,

15 Defendants.
16

Case No. 2:11-CV-02250-MCE-CMK

ORDER

17
18 Pursuant to the parties' Joint Status Report filed on July 22, 2014,

19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 20 1. Plaintiffs shall file an amended complaint not later than August 6, 2014.
21 2. Federal Defendants' response to the amended complaint will be due 30 days from the
22 filing of the amended complaint.
23 3. Federal Defendants will provide Plaintiffs' counsel of record an electronic copy of the
24 Administrative Record on compact disk ("CD") or digital video disk ("DVD") by September 16,
25 2014.
26 4. Not later than October 1, 2014, Plaintiffs shall inform Federal Defendants of any
27 objections to the adequacy of the Administrative Record. Federal Defendants will respond to
28 Plaintiffs' objections by October 15, 2014.

Conservation Congress v. Heywood, No. 2:11-CV-02250-MCE-CMK
ORDER ON JOINT STATUS REPORT

1 5. If Federal Defendants determine that supplementation of the Administrative Record with
2 additional documents is warranted, Federal Defendants will provide Plaintiffs' counsel of record
3 an electronic copy of such documents on CD or DVD by October 29, 2014.

4 6. Federal Defendants will certify and lodge two electronic copies of the complete
5 Administrative Record on CD or DVD with the Court on October 29, 2014. Due to the large
6 volume of pages anticipated to make up the administrative record, Federal Defendants are
7 granted leave to deviate from the requirement in the local rules and the Court's standing order to
8 provide a courtesy paper copy of filings in excess of 50 pages. Federal Defendants will provide
9 courtesy copies of the main decision documents to the Court.

10 7. In the event that the parties are unable to resolve issues regarding the sufficiency of the
11 Administrative Record and Plaintiffs believe it is necessary to file a motion challenging the
12 adequacy of the Administrative Record, Plaintiffs will file their motion by October 29, 2014.
13 Briefing will proceed in accordance with the local rules.

14 8. The following amended briefing schedule for cross-motions for summary judgment will
15 control unless modified:

16 November 13, 2014 Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment
17 (20 pages, per Court's standing order)

18 December 15, 2014 Federal Defendants' Combined
19 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for
20 Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for
21 Summary Judgment
(40 pages per the combined limits in the
Court's standing order)

22 January 6, 2015 Plaintiffs' Combined Opposition to
23 Federal Defendants' Cross-Motion for
24 Summary and Plaintiff's Reply to
25 Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment
(30 pages per the combined limits in the
Court's standing order)


26 January 20, 2015 Federal Defendants' Reply to Cross-
27 Motion for Summary Judgment
(10 pages per the Court's standing order)

1 9. The parties are granted leave to dispense with the local rule requirements to provide a
2 Statement of Undisputed Facts and responses thereto. The parties' briefs will provide citation to
3 the administrative record for facts relied upon in the arguments.

4 10. All other requirements and limitations on the Parties' briefs would remain consistent with
5 the Court's order of January 23, 2012, ECF No. 14.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: November 4, 2014

8
9 
10 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28