1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAMMY R. QUAIR, JR., 10 11 Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-2293 JAM CKD P 12 VS. 13 GERTZ, Defendant. 14 **ORDER** 15 On February 1, 2013, the court issued findings and a recommendation that this 16 17 action be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure due to 18 plaintiff's failure to comply with an order directing him to file an opposition or statement of non-19 opposition to defendant's September 12, 2012 motion for summary judgment. Plaintiff was 20 granted fourteen days to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (Dkt. No. 52.) 21 Plaintiff did not timely file objections. However, on February 25, 2013, plaintiff 22 filed objections stating that he had been recently hospitalized. In opposition to summary 23 judgment, he submitted five inmate request forms from July 2010 that are relevant to this action; indeed, defendant filed these forms along with his motion for summary judgment. (See Dkt. 24 25 Nos. 44-4, 44-9, 44-10, 44-11, 44-12.) Plaintiff asserts that "with these new request slips, there's no possible way at all that I will not win my case at trial." (Dkt. No. 52 at 2.) The court 26 1

(PC) Quair v. Gertz

Doc. 54

construes plaintiff's February 25, 2013 filing as his opposition to summary judgment and will vacate its February 1, 2013 recommendation of dismissal.

Plaintiff has also requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed February 1, 2013 (Dkt. No. 52) are hereby vacated; and
- 2. Plaintiff's February 25, 2013 motion to appoint counsel (Dkt. No. 53) is denied.

Dated: March 1, 2013

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

quai2293.vac