

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICCI DeCUIR,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-02333 DAD P

vs.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY JAIL  
HOSPITAL, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed September 29, 2011, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. Subsequently, on November 2, 2011, plaintiff was granted an additional thirty days to file the amended complaint. The time for filing the amended complaint has expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's orders.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be randomly assigned to a District Judge.

Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written  
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
3 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
5 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: June 4, 2012.

7  
8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 DALE A. DROZD  
11 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9 DAD:4  
10 decu2333.fta

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26