1 2 3 4 5 6 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ANTHONY ARCEO, No. 2:11-cv-02396 MCE KJN P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SOCORRO SALINAS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff is committed to a state hospital under California's Sexually Violent Predators Act 17 18 ("SVPA"). He is proceeding, pro se and in forma pauperis, with a civil rights action pursuant to 19 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 23, 2016, and December 1, 2016, the court inadvertently omitted defendant Adams from the orders addressing the request for reconsideration and the 20 21 motion to strike. Pursuant to the court's March 18, 2016 screening order, as well as the district 22 court's May 5, 2016 order adopting the findings and recommendations, this action proceeds on 23 plaintiff's retaliation claims against defendants Surjick, Kong, Adams and McHugh for their actions in February of 2012, and defendant Savage based on his actions in September 2012. 24 25 (ECF Nos. 28, 31.) Plaintiff's claims against defendant Adams were not dismissed by the November 23, 2016 order. 26 27 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 28 1. The November 23, 2016, and December 1, 2016 orders are amended; 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2223
23
25
26
27
28

2. This action proceeds on plaintiff's retaliation claims against defendants Surjick, Kong
Adams and McHugh for their actions in February of 2012, and defendant Savage based on his
actions in September 2012; and

3. Defendant Adams shall file a responsive pleading within twenty-one days from the date of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 5, 2017

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE