(PC) Driver v	v. Kelso et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	BILLY DRIVER,	
11	Plaintiff,	No. 2:11-cv-2397 EFB P
12	VS.	
13	J. CLARK KELSO, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	<u>ORDER</u>
15		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
18	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent. See 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 636; see also E.D. Cal. Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).	
20	On August 9, 2012, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim.	
21	The dismissal order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff 30 days to file an	
22	amended complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an	
23	amended complaint would result in this action being dismissed for failure to state a claim. The	
24	30-day period has expired and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.	
25	////	
26	////	
		1

Doc. 20

1	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED. 28 U.S.C.
2	§ 1915A(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110.
3	Dated: September 12, 2012.
4	Elmund Fibilima
5	ÉDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	