

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEION GRIFFIN,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:11-cv-02410-DAD P

vs.

EDMUND G. BROWN, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER AND

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

_____ /

A court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned as undeliverable by the U.S. Postal Service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the U.S. Postal Service and plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of a current address.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be randomly assigned to a District Judge.

Also, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: October 24, 2012.

7
8 
9 _____
DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:4
11 grif2410.33a
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26