

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT N. JOHNSON,
Plaintiff,
v.
NAGEB ABDO ALGAZALI, doing
business as SHEBA LIQUORS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:11-cv-02472 KJN

ORDER

On May 22, 2013, the parties informed the court that they had settled this case.¹ (ECF No. 31.) Thereafter, the undersigned ordered all dispositional documents to be filed by July 5, 2013. (ECF No. 32.)

On July 3, 2013, however, plaintiff’s counsel filed a request for an extension to file dispositional documents on or before August 2, 2013, “due to the fact that Plaintiff and Defendants are still in the process of finalizing a settlement agreement.” (ECF No. 33.)

///
///

¹ This matter proceeds before the undersigned as a result of the parties’ voluntary consent to the jurisdiction of the undersigned for all proceedings in this case, including trial and entry of final judgment, and an order entered December 6, 2011 (ECF No. 9). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 73; E.D. Cal. L.R. 301, 305.

1 Based upon counsel's representation (id.) and the fact that no similar request for an
2 extension has previously been filed with respect to the parties' dispositional documents, the
3 undersigned ordered the parties to file dispositional documents no later than August 2, 2013.
4 (ECF No. 43.)


5 However, the deadline of August 2, 2013, has now passed. The parties have not filed their
6 dispositional documents. The parties have not requested an extension of time to do so.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

8 Within fourteen (14) days of issuance of this order, the late dispositional documents shall
9 be filed, and *all counsel* shall show cause in writing why monetary sanctions should not be
10 imposed for the delay. *Failure to timely comply with this order will result in sanctions.* See
11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; see also Thompson v. Housing Auth. of City of L.A.,
12 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986) (per curiam) (stating that district courts have inherent power to
13 control their dockets and may impose sanctions including dismissal), cert. denied, 479 U.S. 829
14 (1986).

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: August 16, 2013

17 
18 _____
19 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28