

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRYAN C. McINTIRE, an
individual,

Plaintiff,

NO. CIV. S-11-2495 LKK/CKD

v.

SUNRISE SPECIALTY COMPANY,
a California corporation,

Defendant.

A M E N D E D
O R D E R¹

_____/

Defendant has moved for clarification of the court's November 9, 2012 order (ECF No. 49), which addressed the timing of the parties' anticipated cross-motions for summary judgment. The motion is well-taken, in that the court's order was reasonably susceptible of the conflicting interpretations which the parties have in fact taken. The court has determined that the clarification motion should be granted on the papers and without oral argument.

¹ This order amends and corrects ECF No. 57, which is hereby vacated.

1 The court accordingly orders as follows:

2 1. Defendant's motion for clarification (ECF No. 55) is
3 **GRANTED**, and the hearing on this motion, scheduled for January 14,
4 2013, is **VACATED**;

5 2. Defendant's response to plaintiff's motion for summary
6 judgment (ECF No. 50), is due no later than 4:30 p.m. on January
7 14, 2013.²

8 3. The parties' Replies are due no later than 4:30 p.m. on
9 January 28, 2013.

10 4. The hearing date on the cross-motions for summary
11 judgment, currently scheduled for January 14, 2013, is **VACATED**, and
12 the hearing is hereby **RESCHEDULED** for February 11, 2013 at 10:00
13 a.m.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: January 11, 2013.

16

17

18



LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
SENIOR JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 ² Plaintiff has already filed his Opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment.