1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	DANIEL DAVIS,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:11-cv-2585 JFM (PC)
12	VS.
13	S.M. SALINAS, Warden, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this action filed pursuant to 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983. Pending is plaintiff's motion for an order directing defendants to retain the video
18	surveillance of a February 2, 2011 incident at issue in plaintiff's complaint. Plaintiff seeks an
19	order protecting the video from loss, destruction or tampering.
20	Plaintiff is informed that defendants have a standing obligation not to destroy
21	evidence which they know, or should know, is relevant to the case. In addition, plaintiff, by his
22	pleading, has certainly placed defendants on further notice of their obligation. However, because
23	plaintiff has not set forth any evidence that defendants have, or plan to, destroy relevant
24	evidence, plaintiff's motions will be denied as unnecessary
25	////
26	////

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's February 23, 2012
2	motion for court order is denied.
3	DATED: March 30, 2012.
4	AL I MAL
5	UNITED STATÉS MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	/014;davi2585.jo
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13 14	
14	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	