| 1 | STIPULATION | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | Plaintiffs SHEILA GOODEN and MICHELLE HALL ("Plaintiffs"), and Defendant | | |
| 3 | SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC., ("SunTrust") by and through their undersigned counsel, hereb | | |
| 4 | stipulate as follows: | | |
| 5 | WHEREAS by Order dated August 30, 2012 (Docket No. 38), the Court set deadlines in | | |
| 6 | the Status (Pre-Trial Scheduling) Order; | | |
| 7 | WHEREAS on November 15, 2013 this Court heard oral argument on Plaintiffs' Motion | | |
| 8 | for Class Certification; | | |
| 9 | WHEREAS the Court issued an Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification | | |
| 10 | which has significantly altered the scope of Plaintiffs' claims in this litigation; | | |
| 11 | WHEREAS on December 13, 2013 the Plaintiffs and SunTrust submitted a Joint | | |
| 12 | Stipulation regarding the Expert Disclosure Deadline to extend that deadline to January 13, 2014 | | |
| 13 | WHEREAS on December 26, 2013 Plaintiffs filed a Petition pursuant to Federal Rule of | | |
| 14 | Civil Procedure 23(f) in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals (Case No. 13-80235); | | |
| 15 | WHEREAS the Ninth Circuit has yet to rule on Plaintiffs' Petition; | | |
| 16 | WHEREAS Plaintiffs and SunTrust agree that the scope and claims of the litigation may | | |
| 17 | be significantly altered depending on the Ninth Circuit's decision on Plaintiffs' Federal Rule of | | |
| 18 | Civil Procedure 23(f) Petition; | | |
| 19 | WHEREAS the Parties are engaged in settlement negotiations; | | |
| 20 | WHEREAS Plaintiffs and SunTrust agree that a continuance of the immediately upcoming | | |
| 21 | expert disclosure deadlines by fourteen (14) days is appropriate; | | |
| 22 | NOW, THEREFORE, by and through their counsel of record, the Parties hereby stipulate | | |
| 23 | and agree, subject to Court approval, that the current schedule be amended as follows: | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |

| 1 | Evenent disalsorum dandling | Opening March 27, 2014 |
|--------|-----------------------------|---|
| 2 | Expert disclosure deadline | Opening: March 27, 2014 Rebuttal: April 10, 2014 |
| 3 | IT IS SO STIPULATED. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 6 | Respectfully Submitted, | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Dated: March 12, 2014 | COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP |
| 9 | | By: <u>/s/ Justin T. Berger</u> NIALL P. McCARTHY |
| 10 | | JUSTIN T. BERGER |
| 11 | | ERIC J. BUESCHER |
| 12 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class |
| 13 | Dated: March 12, 2014 | SEVERSON & WERSON |
| 14 | | By: /s/ Philip Barilovits |
| 15 | | By: <u>/s/ Philip Barilovits</u> PHILIP BARILOVITS |
| 16 | | Attorneys for Defendant |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |

| 1 | <u>ORDER</u> | | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 2 | Pursuant to the Stipulation of the Parties, for good cause shown, the Court hereby | | |
| 3 | ORDERS that the deadlines be amended accordingly: | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Expert disclosure deadline | Opening: March 27, 2014 Rebuttal: April 10, 2014 | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | IT IS SO ORDERED | | |
| 9 | Dated: 3/12/2014 | /s/ John A. Mendez | |
| 10 | | THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ United States District Court Judge | |
| 11 | | Office States District Court stage | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 2526 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | l I | | |