1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A.,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-2647 LKK EFB PS
12	vs.
13	RENEE L. MARTIN,
14	Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	On October 20, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
17	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
18	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed. <sup>1</sup>
19	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,
20	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.
21	This action, on its face, is a purely state law action for money lent, due and
22	owing, and for unjust enrichment. The lack of federal jurisdiction plainly appears in the
23	complaint and in the notice of removal.
24	<sup>1</sup> Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations
 was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

1	
1	
2 3	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
3 4	<ol> <li>Pro se defendant's request to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> is <b>GRANTED</b>;</li> </ol>
5	<ol> <li>The proposed Findings and Recommendations (Dkt. No. 9) filed October 20,</li> </ol>
6	2011, are ADOPTED; and
7	3. The above-captioned case is REMANDED to the Superior Court of the State
8	of California in and for the County of Sacramento for lack of federal jurisdiction. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C.
9	§ 1447(c).
10	DATED: May 8, 2012.
11	
12	- La sura K Karlt-
13	LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2