1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	GORDON H. FLATTUM,
10	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-11-2711 LKK GGH PS
11	VS.
12	
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, et al.,ORDER
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. This
17	proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302(c)(21). On July 9, 2012, the district
18	court adopted this court findings and recommendations which recommended denying defendant's
19	motion to dismiss without prejudice and staying this action until the administrative proceedings
20	in state court are concluded. Plaintiff has now filed a motion for leave to file a second amended
21	complaint which indicates that the administrative proceedings are concluded. Defendants have
22	not filed an opposition to the motion.
23	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:
24	1. This action is no longer stayed.
25	2. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a second amended complaint, filed February
26	25, 2013, (dkt. no. 24), is granted.
	1

1 3. Defendants shall file a response to the second amended complaint within 2 twenty-eight (28) days of this order. 3 DATED: April 8, 2013 4	1
3DATED: April 8, 20134567676767767789101112131415	
4/s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE676GH:076/Flattum2711.2am.wpd89101112131415	
S Image: Constraint of the state of t	
6 GGH:076/Flattum2711.2am.wpd 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 -	
7 GGH:076/Flattum2711.2am.wpd 8	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	
10 11 12 13 14 15	
11 12 13 14 15	
12 13 14 15	
13 14 15	
14 15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	